



# ISSPD XIII

Bridging personality  
and psychopathology:

The person behind the illness

**XIII INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON  
THE DISORDERS OF PERSONALITY**

**16-19 SEPTEMBER 2013  
COPENHAGEN, DENMARK**

# ABSTRACTS

[www.isspd2013.com](http://www.isspd2013.com)

# **ABSTRACTS**

## **ISSPD XIII**

XIII International Congress on  
the Disorders of Personality

Bridging personality and psychopathology:  
The person behind the illness

16-19 September 2013  
Copenhagen, Denmark

[www.isspd2013.com](http://www.isspd2013.com)



## **KEYNOTE SPEAKER ABSTRACTS..... 1**

Keynote Address (K-01) .....	1
The person with the illness	
Keynote Address (K-02) .....	1
Interpreting the Dodo Bird: speculations about a mechanism of disease and the mechanism of cure	
Keynote Address (K-03) .....	2
New perspectives on neurobiology of personality disorders and implications as validators for diagnostic classification	
Keynote Address (K-04) .....	2
Evolutionary perspectives on personality disorders	
Keynote Address (K-05) .....	3
Do personality disorders constitute a meaningful diagnostic grouping?	
Keynote Address (K-06) .....	3
Where is the person in personality disorder?	
Keynote Address (K-07) .....	4
The third wave in a sea of treatment for personality disorder?	
Keynote Address (K-08) .....	4
Psychodynamic perspectives on BPD	

## **SPECIAL LECTURES ABSTRACTS..... 5**

25 years with the ISSPD  
Character types in Hans Christian Andersen fairy tales  
Kierkegaard: The phenomenology of suffering and psychopathological vulnerability

## **CONCURRENT SESSIONS..... 7**

### **TUESDAY Morning - 17 September**

Invited Symposium (IS-01) .....	7
Dysfunctional social interaction in borderline personality disorders	
Invited Symposium (IS-02) .....	9
Narcissism and psychopathy - separate or related concepts	
Symposium (S-01).....	11
Can borderline personality escape bipolar disorder's shadow? Enhancing recognition of BPD in a bipolar world	
Symposium (S-02).....	13
The developmental profile: A psychodynamic assessment of the person behind the illness	
Symposium (S-03) .....	16
Elements for an integrated treatment of personality disorders	
Symposium (S-04) .....	18
Understanding better daily life functioning in BPD	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-01) .....	21
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-02) .....	24

### **TUESDAY Afternoon - 17 September**

Invited Symposium (IS-03) .....	27
Evidence-based psychotherapies for borderline personality disorder	
Invited Symposium (IS-04) .....	28
Follow-up studies	
Invited Symposium (IS-05) .....	31
Personality disorder assessment	
Invited Symposium (IS-06) .....	34
ADHD and personality disorders	
Symposium (S-05).....	36
New perspectives on psychodynamic assessment procedures	

Symposium (S-06).....	38
Millon's perspective on bridging personality and psychopathology: Evidence from the MCMI-III	
Symposium (S-07).....	41
Implementation of evidence based treatment for BPD in mental health care practice in the Netherlands	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-03) .....	43
Invited Symposium (IS-07) .....	45
'What? How? Don't!' 'Do's and don't 's' in pharmacotherapy for severe personality disorders	
Invited Symposium (IS-08) .....	47
Classification of personality disorders in ICD-11	
Symposium (S-08).....	48
What's the difference that makes the difference? Commonalities and differences across efficacious treatments for BPD	
Symposium (S-09).....	51
Bringing the portrait, the psychopathology, the diagnosis? Breivik, Norway, 2011, Rivière, Calvados, France, 1835	
Symposium (S-10).....	52
Implementing STEPPS around the Globe, Part 1	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-04) .....	54
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-05) .....	56
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-06) .....	57

### **WEDNESDAY Morning - 18 September**

Invited Symposium (IS-09) .....	60
Metacognition, Mindfulness, and Mentalization	
Invited Symposium (IS-10) .....	62
Epidemiology and personality disorders	
Symposium (S-11).....	64
Mothers with borderline personality disorder in youth protection services	
Symposium (S-12).....	66
Cultural influence on personality disorders	
Symposium (S-13).....	68
CAPP Symposium 1: Evaluating the conceptual model	
Symposium (S-14).....	71
Social cognition in borderline personality disorder	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-07) .....	73
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-08) .....	75
Invited Symposium (IS-11) .....	77
Gene-environment interactions, endophenotypes and epigenetics	
Invited Symposium (IS-12) .....	79
Personality and its disorders in film	
Invited Symposium (IS-13) .....	80
Substance use and personality disorder	
Symposium (S-15).....	82
Misdiagnosis and mistreatment of borderline personality disorder	
Symposium (S-16).....	83
Personality disorders in short stay general psychiatric inpatient units	
Symposium (S-17).....	86
Is antisocial personality disorder treatable? New developments in mentalization-based approaches	
Symposium (S-18).....	88
Impulsivity in borderline personality disorder	
Symposium (S-19).....	90
Implementing STEPPS around the Globe, part 2	

### **WEDNESDAY Afternoon – 18 September**

Invited Symposium (IS-14) .....	93
Neurobiology in the personality disorder spectrum: A focus on borderline personality disorder	
Invited Symposium (IS-15) .....	96
Personality disorder, dimensions of personality and affective illness	

Symposium (S-20).....	99
Comorbidity of personality disorders and depression: Bridging research and clinical practice	
Symposium (S-21).....	101
Integrated treatment for personality disorders: Going beyond specialized treatments – meeting the needs of the individual	
Symposium (S-22).....	103
Social cognition and social and emotional dysregulation in youth with borderline personality disorders	
Symposium (S-23).....	106
Family behind the illness	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-09) .....	108
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-10) .....	111

## **THURSDAY Morning – 19 September**

Invited Symposium (Debate).....	113
The classification of personality disorders: Where we have been; where are we headed? A debate	
Invited Symposium (IS-16) .....	114
Borderline personality disorder in young people: From validity to intervention	
Symposium (S-24) .....	117
CAPP symposium 2: Measuring and applying the CAPP	
Symposium (S-25) .....	119
Callous-unemotional and psychopathic traits in youth: Assessment and biological underpinnings	
Symposium (S-26) .....	121
Personality disorders in young adult twins: functional impairment and comorbidity	
Symposium (S-27) .....	123
Looking at the crossroad of maladaptive personality, dysfunctional behavior and adaptation	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-11) .....	125
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-12) .....	128
Invited Symposium (Young Researchers).....	130
Young researchers' symposium	
Symposium (S-28) .....	131
Narcissistic pathology in cognitive, phenomenological and developmental aspects	
Symposium (S-29) .....	133
Formulation, meaning and risk in a forensic context	
Symposium (S-30) .....	134
Are PCLR scores reliable?	
Symposium (S-31) .....	136
The person, the prisoner, the patient - working with the triumvirate	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-13) .....	138
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-14) .....	140

## **THURSDAY Afternoon – 19 September**

Invited Symposium (IS-17) .....	142
Self harm and suicidality	
Invited Symposium (IS-18) .....	144
New knowledge of avoidant personality disorder	
Symposium (S-32) .....	146
Treatment of personality disorder in the public health sector in Denmark	
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-15) .....	149
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-16) .....	151
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-17) .....	152
Free Paper Symposium (FPS-18) .....	154

<b>POSTER SESSION ABSTRACTS.....</b>	<b>157</b>
--------------------------------------	------------

<b>INDEX.....</b>	<b>183</b>
-------------------	------------

pathologies: grandiose and vulnerable. These two constructs were innovatively examined with the cognitive structure of interpretation bias, measured both explicitly and implicitly. In line with Kohut's theory (1971, 1977), findings indicated a similar core of fragility in both types. However, they seem to present with different overt self-appraisals. The two narcissistic types might also develop as a result of different parenting strategies. Clinical theories also emphasized the parents' role in the development of narcissistic pathology. We present findings that indicate that parenting strategies are related to vulnerable narcissism, but not to grandiose narcissism. Finally, we empirically examined the notion that empathy deficit is a core characteristic of narcissistic pathology. We examined this notion in adolescents and young adults while differentiating grandiose and vulnerable narcissism. Surprisingly, findings indicated that both narcissistic types might be weakly, but positively, related to empathy. We discuss these findings with reference to the development of narcissism in adolescents.1) *Do narcissists see the world differently? Cognitive biases in NPD*/ Michal Weiss 2) *It's all the parents' fault - is it? How narcissists evaluate their parents*/ Eva Schürch 3) *Pathological narcissism and empathy in adolescents and young adults*/ Marc Allroggen

### **Presentation #1**

#### **Do narcissists see the world differently? Cognitive biases in NPD**

Michal Weiss, Jonathan Huppert

Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Clinical theories suggested that narcissistic personality disorder has two facets: grandiose (G) and vulnerable (V). Both types share an inner core of fragile, inferior feelings, which they compensate for with grandiose fantasies and behaviors. G's defenses are more overt, and they appear as arrogant and entitled, while Vs' grandiosity is mainly fantasized, and they may appear as shy and modest. The fragile self of the two types keeps them in a constant need for admiration and affirmation from others in order to regulate their self-esteem. Therefore, we examined the two types' explicit and implicit self-appraisals in the context of ambiguous social feedback, by measuring their interpretation biases (IB). We expected G's explicit IBs to be less negative than V's IBs. However, implicit IBs will be more similar for both types. In a preliminary study, 27 subjects were recruited into three groups (G, N=8; V, N=9; and control, N=10), based on their PNI scores. All subjects completed explicit and implicit IB tasks. As expected, the three groups differed significantly in their explicit IBs, with the V group being the most negatively biased, and the G group being the least. Implicit IBs showed no significant difference, maybe due to the small sample size. However, effect size was moderate ( $d=0.31$ ), and results were in the expected direction. Overall, Vs' IBs were more negative than G's, with a significant interaction (group\*bias). These findings support the notion of two types of narcissism, with different overt presentations and more similar covert self-appraisals.

### **Presentation #2**

#### **It's all the parents' fault – is it? How narcissists evaluate their parents**

Eva Schürch, Carolyn Morf

University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

*Background:* Although theories agree on the seminal role of parents in the development of narcissism, not many empirical studies exist to date that have examined the connection between parenting strategies and narcissism in children. *Aim:* In this study we wanted to test the hypotheses that specific parenting strategies fuel the development of narcissistic personality in the children. *Method:* Adults from five different clinical and community samples (mean age ranging from 19 to 43 years) retrospectively reported on various parenting strategies adopted by their parents. Strategies ranged from intrusive coldness, control, and role reversal to overvaluation and lack of boundaries. We used

the Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI) to assess grandiose narcissism and the Pathological Narcissism Inventory (PNI) to assess vulnerable narcissism in young adults. *Results:* While we found correlations between parenting strategies and vulnerable narcissism (PNI) in all samples, there were, with a few exceptions, no correlations with grandiose narcissism (NPI). *Conclusion:* We found evidence for the connection between certain parenting strategies and vulnerable narcissism in children. However, for grandiose narcissism the results are less clear. Possible explanations for these results are discussed.

### **Presentation #3**

#### **Pathological narcissism and empathy in adolescents and young adults**

Marc Allroggen<sup>1</sup>, Peter Rehmann<sup>1</sup>, Michael Koelch<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Hospital Ulm, Ulm, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Vivantes Klinikum, Berlin, Germany

*Introduction:* Empathy deficits are seen as a core characteristic of pathological narcissism. *Method:* 137 participants (mean age 17.6 years, SD: 1.69; female 24.1 %) completed the German version of the Pathological Narcissism Inventory (PNI) and the Multifaceted Empathy Test (MET). *Results:* Against expectations we find a small positive relationship between empathy and dimensions of both grandiose and vulnerable narcissism. *Discussion:* The implications of the findings of this preliminary study with adolescents and young adults are discussed with reference to the development of narcissism in adolescents.

### **Symposium (S-29)**

#### **Formulation, meaning and risk in a forensic context**

Cleo Van Velsen<sup>1</sup>, Jackie Craissati<sup>2</sup>, Phil Minoudis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Millfields Unit, London, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Bracton Centre, Oxleas Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

#### **Overview**

Dr Craissati considers the needs of the criminal justice system in relation to the 'gold standard' of quality case formulation and explores the potential relationship of formulation to risk reduction. An adjusted model is proposed which details a stepped approach to formulation, linked to quality standards, but adapted to suit the purpose of a probation service. Dr Minoudis will present an empirical paper evaluating formulation in forensic settings. Offender personality disorder strategy places formulation-led management at its core. However, there exists little research into the quality of formulation and limited methods of evaluating its effectiveness. This presentation reports on an evaluation of formulation after it was taught and practiced with offender managers. A new formulation quality checklist was validated in this process. Results of the study and implications for future research and practice are discussed. Dr Van Velsen references ten key features of formulation suggested by Hart et al (2011). Such breadth is admirable but can lead to complex formulations that are interesting for clinicians (sometimes), but might be without meaning for the patient. A psychodynamic formulation can enliven and ensure the presence of the person in the risk formulation, using a particular example. She will argue that the most important aspect of the risk formulation is its narrative resonance with the patient's own experience. This requires movement from the nomothetic to the personal.